

1. (10 points) Prove the following combinatorial identities:

- (a) (5 points) Recall that  $S(n, k)$  is equal to the number of ways to subdivide an  $n$ -element set into  $k$  nonempty parts. Produce a combinatorial argument to show that  $S(n, k) = kS(n - 1, k) + S(n - 1, k - 1)$ .

Let us consider the ways to divide  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  into  $k$  nonempty sets. There are two possibilities to be addressed: either  $n$  is in a set by itself or with other numbers.

If  $n$  is in a set by itself, then removal of this set  $\{n\}$  leaves us with a partition of the numbers  $\{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$  into  $k - 1$  sets. There are  $S(n - 1, k - 1)$  such partitions, and each of them can be identified uniquely with a  $k$ -partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$  by adding in the extra set  $\{n\}$ .

On the other hand, if  $n$  is in a set with other numbers, removal of  $n$  from this set leaves  $k$  sets behind partitioning the numbers  $\{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$ . There are  $S(n - 1, k)$  such possible partitions, but they are *not* uniquely identified with  $k$ -partitions of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$ , since the term  $n$  could have been removed from (and can be reinserted into) any of the  $k$  sets. Thus, there are  $k$  different ways to build a  $k$ -partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  from a  $k$ -partition of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$ , so this possibility accounts for  $k \cdot S(n - 1, k)$  partitions.

Assembling these two cases, we see that  $S(n, k) = S(n - 1, k - 1) + kS(n - 1, k)$ .

- (b) (5 points) Prove that for any  $m < n$ ,  $\sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{k, m-k, n-m} = 2^m \binom{n}{m}$ .

This is actually very much like problem #1 from the previous problem set; it's actually a generalization, since that problem is equivalent to the  $m = n - 1$  case of this one.

The left side is fairly easy to interpret: it represents the number of ways to divide an  $n$ -element set into three distinct parts of size  $k$ ,  $m - k$ , and  $n - m$ , for any value of  $k$ . So, more properly, it represents the number of ways to divide  $n$  elements into classes A, B, and C so that classes A and B together contain  $m$  elements, and class C has  $n - m$ .

The right side can be interpreted as enumerating the same thing, in a different way. We start by selecting the membership of classes A and B together; we want to have  $m$  members, so there are  $\binom{n}{m}$  ways to select these, and the remaining  $n - m$  elements are consigned to class C. Now, we want to freely distribute these  $m$  elements between A and B: for each element there are two possibilities, that it is in class A or class B, so there are  $2^m$  ways to distribute the preselected  $m$  elements between A and B. Thus, this enumeration is identically  $\binom{n}{m} 2^m$ , which is the right side of the equation.

This question could also be answered algebraically. It could be shown to be true for all  $m$  by virtue of a polynomial representation of each side, i.e.  $\sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{k, m-k, n-m} x^m$  and  $\sum_{m=0}^n 2^m \binom{n}{m} x^m$ . Note that we can interestingly restructure the sum in the

first form here and use the multinomial theorem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{n}{k, m-k, n-m} x^m &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{m=k}^n \binom{n}{k, m-k, n-m} x^m \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k, i, n-i-k} x^{i+k} \\
 &= \sum_{i+j+k=n} \binom{n}{k, i, j} x^i x^k 1^j \\
 &= (x + x + 1)^n \\
 &= (2x + 1)^n \\
 &= \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} (2x)^m = \sum_{m=0}^n 2^m \binom{n}{m} x^m
 \end{aligned}$$

2. **(10 points)** We know that  $p_k(n)$  is the number of partitions of the number  $n$  into exactly  $k$  nonzero parts, and it has a generating function given by  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_k(n)x^n = \frac{x^k}{(1-x)(1-x^2)(1-x^3)(1-x^4)\cdots(1-x^k)}$ .

- (a) **(5 points)** Prove that  $p_k(n) = p_{k-1}(n-1) + p_k(n-k)$  by using a direct combinatorial method (e.g. bijection or alternative enumerations of the same set).

Given a partition of the integer  $n$  into  $k$  parts, we have two possibilities: either at least one of the parts is 1, or all of the parts are larger than 1.

The partitions containing a 1 can be bijectively mapped to the same partitions with the 1 removed. By way of illustration,  $p_3(8) = 5$ , describing the partitions  $6 + 1 + 1$ ,  $5 + 2 + 1$ ,  $4 + 3 + 1$ ,  $4 + 2 + 2$ , and  $3 + 3 + 2$ . Of these, those with 1s in them are in a bijective map with 2-part partitions of 7:  $6 + 1 + 1 \leftrightarrow 6 + 1$ ,  $5 + 2 + 1 \leftrightarrow 5 + 2$ , and  $4 + 2 + 1 \leftrightarrow 4 + 3$ . Thus, the partitions containing a 1 are equal in number to the partitions of  $n-1$  into  $k-1$  parts; that is,  $p_{k-1}(n-1)$ .

On the other hand, partitions without a 1 can be subjected to a different sort of reversible reduction: decrement the value of each part by 1, for a total decrement of  $k$ . So as above, we would convert the 8-partitions  $4 + 2 + 2$  and  $3 + 3 + 2$  into the 5-partitions  $3 + 1 + 1$  and  $2 + 2 + 1$  respectively. Thus, we may bijectively map the partitions which do not contain a 1 to the  $k$ -part partitions of  $n-k$ , of which there are  $p_k(n-k)$ .

Adding up these two cases, we see that  $p_k(n) = p_{k-1}(n-1) + p_k(n-k)$ .

- (b) **(5 points)** Prove that  $p_k(n) = p_{k-1}(n-1) + p_k(n-k)$  by equating the generating function  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_k(n)x^n$  to the sum  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{k-1}(n-1)x^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_k(n-k)x^n$ .

It is known that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_k(n)x^n = \frac{x^k}{(1-x)(1-x^2)\cdots(1-x^k)}$ . We shall show that  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{k-1}(n-$

1)  $x^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_k(n-k)x^n$  can be algebraically manipulated to be the same thing.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{k-1}(n-1)x^n + p_k(n-k)x^n &= x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{k-1}(n-1)x^{n-1} + x^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_k(n-k)x^{n-k} \\
 &= x \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} p_{k-1}(n)x^n + x^k \sum_{n=-k}^{\infty} p_k(n)x^n \\
 &= x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{k-1}(n)x^n + x^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_k(n)x^n \text{ since } p_k(n) = 0 \text{ for } n < 0 \\
 &= x \frac{x^{k-1}}{(1-x) \cdots (1-x^{k-1})} + x^k \frac{x^k}{(1-x) \cdots (1-x^k)} \\
 &= \frac{x^k(1-x^k)}{(1-x) \cdots (1-x^k)} + \frac{x^{2k}}{(1-x) \cdots (1-x^k)} \\
 &= \frac{x^k}{(1-x) \cdots (1-x^k)}
 \end{aligned}$$

3. **(15 points)** We shall determine the number  $a_n$  of strings of the numbers 0, 1, or 2 of length  $n$  which do not contain two consecutive zeroes.

(a) **(5 points)** Find a recurrence relation for  $a_n$ , including initial cases.

$a_0 = 1$  to represent the empty string;  $a_1 = 3$ , since any of the strings 0, 1, or 2 will suffice. For our recurrence, let us consider the ways we can get a 00-free string of length  $n$  from a shorter 00-free string. We could, of course, append a 1 or 2 to a string of length  $n-1$ . We could also append a 10 or 20 to a string of length  $n-2$ . Thus,  $a_n = 2a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-2}$ .

(b) **(5 points)** Find a closed form for  $a_n$ .

The characteristic polynomial is  $x^2 - 2x - 2$ , which has roots  $1 \pm \sqrt{3}$ , so the general solution to the recurrence alone is  $a_n = k(1 + \sqrt{3})^n + \ell(1 - \sqrt{3})^n$ . The initial conditions tell us that  $1 = k + \ell$  and  $3 = (1 + \sqrt{3})k + (1 - \sqrt{3})\ell$ . Solving this system of equations gives  $k = \frac{3+2\sqrt{3}}{6}$  and  $\ell = \frac{3-2\sqrt{3}}{6}$ , for a solution of

$$a_n = \frac{3+2\sqrt{3}}{6}(1+\sqrt{3})^n + \frac{3-2\sqrt{3}}{6}(1-\sqrt{3})^n$$

(c) **(5 points)** Find a closed form for the generating function of  $a_n$  (you may do this before part (b), if desired, and use it to solve part (b)).

4. **(5 points)** Here  $F_n$  denotes the  $n$ th Fibonacci number with initial values 1, 1, so that  $F_0 = 1$ ,  $F_1 = 1$ , and  $F_2 = 2$ . Prove that for non-negative  $m$  and  $n$ ,  $F_{m+n} = F_m F_n + F_{m-1} F_{n-1}$ .

There are many ways to prove this, but one of the easiest is with the checkers-and-dominoes combinatorial expression of Fibonacci numbers. Let us consider tilings of a  $1 \times (m+n)$  checkerboard with checkers and dominoes. Clearly, there are  $F_{m+n}$  of these.

Let us consider the result of “cutting” this board into a left half which has dimensions  $1 \times m$  and a right half which has dimensions  $1 \times n$ .

Considering those tilings where the cut divides the board into two separate tilings; that is, where no tile overlaps the cut, there is clearly a bijection between these tilings and individual separate tilings of a  $1 \times m$  board and a  $1 \times n$  board; this is a bijection since a “cut” of a tiling can be reversed by reassembling the pieces. Thus, these tilings are in bijective correspondence with a set of size  $F_m F_n$  (the set of pairs of  $1 \times m$  and  $1 \times n$  tilings).

Now, let us consider those tilings where the cut *does* break a tile. The only tile which spans multiple squares (and can thus cross a cut) is a domino: thus these tilings have a domino between the  $m$ th and  $(m + 1)$ th squares. Removing this domino and the squares under it, we see that the original  $1 \times (m + n)$  checkerboard is decomposed into a freely-tiled  $1 \times (m - 1)$  checkerboard, a two-element gap, and a freely tiled  $1 \times (n - 1)$  checkerboard. Thus these tilings can be placed into a one-to-one correspondence with pairs of tilings of a  $1 \times (m - 1)$  checkerboard and a  $1 \times (n - 1)$  checkerboard. Thus, there are  $F_{m-1} F_{n-1}$  of these.

Adding together the two cases gives the identity requested.

5. **(5 point bonus)** Let  $f(x)$  be a monic polynomial of degree  $n$  with integer coefficients and distinct (not necessarily real) roots  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$ . Show that  $r_1^k + r_2^k + r_3^k + \dots + r_n^k$  is an integer for any positive integer  $k$ .

*On two occasions I have been asked — “Pray, Mr. Babbage, if you put into the machine wrong figures, will the right answers come out?” In one case a member of the Upper, and in the other a member of the Lower House put this question. I am not able rightly to apprehend the kind of confusion of ideas that could provoke such a question.*

*—Charles Babbage*