

1. **(6 points)** Prove that for every real number $x > -1$ and positive integer n , it is the case that $(1 + x)^n \geq 1 + nx$. (Hint: use induction on n)
2. **(10 points)** We learned about three properties of relations: reflexivity, symmetry, and transitivity. There are 8 possible combinations of these three properties (each could be present or absent). For *each* of the eight possibilities, find a set S and a relation R with that combination of properties, specifying which is which, of course. The relation can be described in words or symbols (e.g. “the relation \leq on the set of real numbers”), or an explicit set of ordered pairs can be given.
3. **(10 points)** Suppose that you have a large collection of 4-cent and 9-cent stamps. Note that with these two stamps it is *impossible* to exactly make up a 23 cent postage. Prove using strong induction (or another method, if preferred) that every number of cents larger than 23 *can* be exactly made with these two types of stamps.
4. **(14 points)** Prove or disprove each of the two following statements:
 - (a) **(7 points)** If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an injective function and $g : B \rightarrow C$ is a surjective function, then $g \circ f$ is surjective.
 - (b) **(7 points)** For functions $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$, if $g \circ f$ is injective, then f is injective.
5. **(5 point bonus)** Recall the recurrence, described in class, which is known as the *Catalan numbers*:

$$C_1 = 1$$

$$C_2 = C_1C_1 = 1$$

$$C_3 = C_2C_1 + C_1C_2 = 2$$

$$C_4 = C_3C_1 + C_2C_2 + C_1C_3 = 5$$

$$C_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} C_{n-i}C_i = C_{n-1}C_1 + C_{n-2}C_2 + \cdots + C_2C_{n-2} + C_1C_{n-1} \text{ for } n > 1$$

Prove that C_n is odd if and only if n is a power of 2.

6. **(5 point bonus)** Below is a proof that all horses are of the same color. Explain the flaw.

Proposition 1. *Any finite set S of horses are all of the same color.*

Proof. We shall use induction on the size of the set. The base case $|S| = 1$ is trivial; a single horse is necessarily of the same color as itself (for simplicity, we treat “speckled” or suchlike as colors in their own right).

For our inductive step, we consider a set S of k horses with $k \geq 2$, and may assume inductively that any set of $k - 1$ horses are all the same color. Now let us select a horse x ; by our inductive hypothesis, all the horses in the slightly smaller set $S - \{x\}$ are of the same color. Likewise, we select a horse y , and by our inductive hypothesis, all the horses in the set $S - \{y\}$ are of the same color. Now, if we select a horse from the overlap of $S - \{x\}$ and $S - \{y\}$, it must be the same color as the horses in *both* sets, so all the horses in $S - \{x\}$ and $S - \{y\}$ — which is to say, all the horses in S — are the same color. \square