

1. **(17 points)** For each natural number i , let S_i be the half-open interval $[1, 2 + \frac{1}{i})$. Calculate the results of the following indexed set operations:

(a) $\bigcap_{i=1}^3 S_i$.

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^3 S_i = S_1 \cap S_2 \cap S_3 = [1, 3) \cap [1, \frac{5}{2}) \cap [1, \frac{7}{3}) = [1, \frac{7}{3}).$$

(b) $\bigcup_{i=1}^3 S_i$.

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^3 S_i = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3 = [1, 3) \cup [1, \frac{5}{2}) \cup [1, \frac{7}{3}) = [1, 3).$$

(c) $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$.

We may note that for every i , $[1, 2] \subseteq [1, 2 + \frac{1}{i})$, since $2 < 2 + \frac{1}{i}$. However, for any $x > 2$, there is some S_i such that $x \notin S_i$, since $x > 2 + \frac{1}{i}$ for some i . Thus the family of numbers which lie in every S_i is exactly $[1, 2]$.

(d) $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$.

Note that every S_i is a subset of S_1 ; the latter sets are smaller and have the same leftmost endpoint. Thus this union, starting with S_1 , never grows any larger, so the result of this infinite union is $[1, 3)$.

2. **(12 points)** Prove or disprove: for sets A and B , if $A \cap B = A$, then $A \subseteq B$.

Proof. Let $x \in A$. By our premise, $A = A \cap B$, so $x \in A \cap B$. Thus, $x \in B$. Since we have shown an arbitrary element of A is in B , it follows that $A \subseteq B$. \square

3. **(18 points)** Give examples of sets satisfying the following conditions, or explain why they cannot be met:

- (a) sets A , B , and C such that $A \subsetneq B$, $A \in C$, and $B \in C$.

A minimal example would be $A = \emptyset$, $B = \{1\}$, and $C = \{\emptyset, \{1\}\}$. A more natural example might be $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $B = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and $C = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, 5\}$.

- (b) sets S and T such that $T = \mathcal{P}(S)$ and $S \cap T \neq \emptyset$.

A minimal example would be $S = \{\emptyset\}$, $T = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$, which would have nonempty intersection $\{\emptyset\}$. A more natural example might be $S = \{1, \{1\}\}$, $T = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{\{1\}\}, \{1, \{1\}\}\}$, which have nonempty intersection $\{\{1\}\}$. In general, S would need to have some set both as a subset and an element.

- (c) sets X , Y , and Z such that $X \cup Y = Z$ and $Z \subsetneq X$.

This is impossible, because if $Z \subsetneq X$, then every element of Z is in X but not vice versa, so some element of X is not in Z . But if $X \cup Y = Z$, then every element of X is in Z .

4. **(15 points)** Prove that if a , b , m , and n are integers such that $m \mid n$ and $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.

Proof. By our premises, $n = km$ and $(a - b) = \ell n$ for some integers k and ℓ . Then $(a - b) = \ell(km) = (\ell k)m$, so $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$. \square

5. (18 points) For each of the following relations, determine whether it is reflexive, symmetric, and/or transitive, providing a brief explanation for the properties which hold and a counterexample for properties which do not hold.

- The relation R_1 on $\{1, 2, 3\}$ given by $R_1 = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2)\}$.

This relation is nonreflexive, since $(3, 3) \notin R_1$.

This relation is nonsymmetric, since $(1, 2) \in R_1$ but $(2, 1) \notin R_1$.

This relation is transitive, since the only cases where $(a, b), (b, c) \in R_1$ are when either $a = b = 1$ and $c = 2$ or $a = 1$ and $b = c = 2$, and in either case $(a, c) \in R_1$ as well.

- The relation R_2 on \mathbb{R} given by $x R_2 y$ iff $|x| \geq |y|$.

This relation is reflexive, since for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $|x| \geq |x|$.

This relation is nonsymmetric, since, for example, $|2| \geq |1|$ but $|1| \not\geq |2|$.

This relation is transitive, since whenever $|x| \geq |y|$ and $|y| \geq |z|$, it is well-known that $|x| \geq |z|$.

- The relation R_3 on $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ given by $A R_3 B$ iff $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

This relation is nonreflexive, since $\emptyset \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$, so $\emptyset \not R_3 \emptyset$.

This relation is symmetric, since $A \cap B = B \cap A$ and thus either both intersections or neither intersection will be empty.

This relation is nontransitive. For example, $\{1, 2\} R_3 \{2, 3\}$ and $\{2, 3\} R_3 \{3, 4\}$, since $\{1, 2\} \cap \{2, 3\} \neq \emptyset$ and $\{2, 3\} \cap \{3, 4\} \neq \emptyset$; however $\{1, 2\} \not R_3 \{3, 4\}$, since $\{1, 2\} \cap \{3, 4\} = \emptyset$.

6. (20 points) Prove that for any positive integer n , it is the case that $1(1!) + 2(2!) + \cdots + n(n!) = (n + 1)! - 1$. Recall that $k! = k(k - 1)(k - 2) \cdots (3)(2)(1)$.

Proof. We proceed by induction; note that the base case $n = 1$ is trivially true, as $1(1!) = 1 = 2! - 1$. Now, we assume, for a specific n , that it is true that

$$1(1!) + 2(2!) + \cdots + n(n!) = (n + 1)! - 1$$

and seek to prove that

$$1(1!) + 2(2!) + \cdots + n(n!) + (n + 1)(n + 1)! = (n + 2)! - 1$$

To do so, we may add $(n + 1)(n + 1)!$ to both sides of our inductive hypothesis, and proceed via arithmetic:

$$\begin{aligned} 1(1!) + 2(2!) + \cdots + n(n!) &= (n + 1)! - 1 \\ 1(1!) + 2(2!) + \cdots + n(n!) + (n + 1)(n + 1)! &= (n + 1)! - 1 + (n + 1)(n + 1)! \\ &= (1 + n + 1)(n + 1)! - 1 \\ &= (n + 2)(n + 1)! - 1 = (n + 2)! - 1 \end{aligned}$$

\square