

1. Identify each of the following algebraic structures as either a group or not a group, and justify your answer. For each structure which is a group explain if it is Abelian.

- The real numbers \mathbb{R} under the operation $a \odot b = a + b + 1$.

This structure is an Abelian group: for any two real numbers a and b , $a \odot b$ is real, so we have closure; $a \odot b \odot c = a + b + c + 2$ regardless of the grouping, so we have associativity; $a \odot (-1) = (-1) \odot a = a$, so we have an identity element (specifically -1); finally, $a \odot (-2 - a) = (-2 - a) \odot a = -1$, so every element a has an inverse. Finally, note that $a \odot b = b \odot a$, so this group is commutative.

- The integers \mathbb{Z} under the operation $a \max b$, which returns the larger of a and b (e.g. $7 \max -3 = 7$).

This is not a group, because it has no identity — there is no number e whose maximum with any number a is equal to a .

A variation on this algebraic structure, the maximum operation on $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$, does have an identity element, since the artificial element $-\infty$ is defined to be less than every integer so $-\infty \max a = a$ for all a . However, even this algebra lacks an inverse.

The above operation is in fact closed, associative, and commutative.

- The set of subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ under the union operation $S \cup T$.

This operation lacks an inverse. The identity element is the set \emptyset , since $S \cup \emptyset = S$ for all S , but for any nonempty set, e.g. $S = \{1, 2\}$, $S \cup T$ will be at least as large as S and thus $S \cup T \neq \emptyset$. This operation actually has all properties of an Abelian group except for the inverse.

- The set of strings of the symbols a , b , and b^{-1} under the operation of concatenation with the rule that two adjacent a s or b s cancel, e.g. $(ababa)(ab) = ababaaab = ababb = aba$.

This is a group: concatenating and canceling two strings results in a string, so this structure is closed; concatenating three strings does not depend on the order in which they are “glued together”, so the operation is associative; the empty string is an identity; and the reversal of a string is its inverse.

Note that this operation is not commutative, so the group is non-Abelian: $(ab)b = a$, while $b(ab) = bab$.

2. Let D_6 be the group of symmetries of a hexagon. Identify a subgroup of D_6 with each of the following orders: 1, 2, 3, 6.

Let r be a 60-degree rotation and f an (arbitrary) flip. We may denote the elements of D_6 canonically as such: $\{e, r, r^2, r^3, r^4, r^5, f, fr, fr^2, fr^3, fr^4, fr^5\}$, and then identify the given subgroups.

There is only one subgroup of order 1; unsurprisingly, it's the trivial group $\{e\}$.

There are seven different subgroups of order 2. Generally, a group of order 2 is always $\{e, x\}$ with $x^2 = e$, and the possible stand-in values for x are r^3 or any of the six flips.

A group of order three basically has to be $\{e, x, x^2\}$ where $x^3 = e$; you might think $\{e, x, y\}$ in general should work, but then x^2 , xy , and y^2 all need to be in the group, and that gets messy. The only possible subgroup matching this template is $\{e, r^2, r^4\}$.

Groups of order 6 are much more versatile in their form, but finding a few in D_6 isn't hard; there are at least three possibilities: $\{e, r, r^2, r^3, r^4, r^5\}$, $\{e, r^2, r^4, f, fr^2, fr^4\}$, and $\{e, r^2, r^4, fr, fr^3, fr^5\}$.

3. Prove that if G is a finite group with order 7, then G is cyclic.

We wish to show that G contains an element of order 7; this element would then generate the whole group. We shall show specifically that G cannot contain elements of orders from 2 to 6 (G does, of course, contain an element of order 1, namely, the identity). We shall address each case individually:

Proposition 1. *If $|G| = 7$, then G contains no element of order 2.*

Proof. Suppose counterfactually that G contains an element a of order 2; we may call the elements of G by the names e, a, b, c, d, f , and g . Since $a \neq e$, we can be certain that $ba \neq b, ca \neq c$, etc. Without loss of generality we can assert that $ba = c$, and then $ca = ba^2 = b$. Likewise, if we assert $da = f$, then $fa = d$ as well. However, now there is no possible value for ga : ga cannot equal xa for $x \neq g$, and we have already assigned the values of a, b, c, d, e , and f to ea, ca, ba, fa, aa , and da respectively, so that ga must equal g , but this cannot be the case because a is not the identity. \square

Proposition 2. *If $|G| = 7$, then G contains no element of order 3.*

Proof. Suppose counterfactually that G contains an element a of order 3; we may call the elements of G by the names e, a, a^2, b, c, d , and f . Since $a \neq a^2 \neq e$, we can be certain that b, ba , and ba^2 are distinct. Without loss of generality we can assert that $ba = c$ and $ba^2 = d$. Then $ca = d$ and $da = b$. However, at this point since we know: $ea = a, aa = a^2, (a^2)a = e, ba = c, ca = d$, and $da = b$. It must be the case that fa is distinct from all of these, so $fa = f$, which is impossible since $a \neq e$. \square

Proposition 3. *If $|G| = 7$, then G contains no element of order 4.*

Proof. If a was an element of G of order 4, then a^2 would have order 2; we saw above that that is impossible. \square

Proposition 4. *If $|G| = 7$, then G contains no element of order 5.*

Proof. If a was an element of G of order 5, we could build a near-complete Cayley table for G , positing the existence of sixth and seventh elements of G called b and c :

	e	a	a^2	a^3	a^4	b	c
e	e	a	a^2	a^3	a^4	b	c
a	a	a^2	a^3	a^4	e	?	?
a^2	a^2	a^3	a^4	a	e	?	?
a^3	a^3	a^4	a	a^2	e	?	?
a^4	a^4	a	a^2	a^3	e	?	?
b	b	?	?	?	?	?	?
c	c	?	?	?	?	?	?

However, to complete this table following the group laws, ab and ac must be b and c in some order; to not conflict with the identity, $ab = c$ and $ac = b$. But then a^2b and a^2c must also be b and c in some order, and since $eb = b$ and $ab = c$, a^2b can be neither b nor c , leading to a contradiction. \square

Proposition 5. *If $|G| = 7$, then G contains no element of order 6.*

Proof. If a was an element of G of order 6, then a^3 would have order 2; we saw above that that is impossible. \square

4. Let G be a group such that for any $a, b, c, d, x \in G$, if $axb = cxd$, then $ab = cd$. Prove that G is Abelian.

For any a and b , consider $x = a^{-1}b^{-1}$, $c = ba$, and $d = e$. Note that $axb = e = cxd$, so $ab = cd$. Thus $ab = ba$.

Other choices also work, like letting $x = a^{-1}$, $c = b$, and $d = a$.

5. Which elements of Z_{200} have order 5? Explain how you know.

By the Fundamental Theorem of Cyclic Groups, the unique subgroup of Z_{200} of order 5 is $\langle \frac{200}{5} \rangle = \{0, 40, 80, 120, 160\}$. Each of the elements of this group except 0 generates this subgroup, and since the order of an element of G is equal to the order of the subgroup it generates, these four numbers (40, 80, 120, and 160) are the only elements of Z_{200} with order 5.