

1. Prove that if N and M are normal subgroups of G , then NM is also a normal subgroup of G .

Proof. There are two facts to be proven: that NM is a subgroup of G at all, and that it is normal in G .

To prove the first, we consider the product of two prototypical elements of NM , n_1m_1 and n_2m_2 , where $n_1, n_2 \in N$ and $m_1, m_2 \in M$. This product is $(n_1m_1)(n_2m_2) = n_1(m_1n_2)m_2$. Note that, because $n_2 \in N$ and $m_1 \in G$ and $N \trianglelefteq G$, $m_1n_2m_1^{-1} \in N$; let us denote this expression by n'_2 for brevity, so that $m_1n_2 = n'_2m_1$. Then $n_1(m_1n_2)m_2 = n_1n'_2m_1m_2$; since $n_1n'_2 \in N$ and $m_1m_2 \in M$, this product is in NM and we have shown that NM is closed under multiplication.

Likewise, let us consider the inverse of a prototypical element of NM : $(nm)^{-1} = m^{-1}n^{-1}$. As above, we may use normality to note that $n' = m^{-1}n^{-1}m$ is an element of N , so $m^{-1}n^{-1} = n'm^{-1}$, and thus $(nm)^{-1} = n'm^{-1} \in NM$, so NM is closed under inversion.

Finally, we wish to show that NM is itself normal in G , so that for any $g \in G$ and $nm \in NM$, $gnmg^{-1} \in NM$. This is surprisingly easy: note that $gnmg^{-1} = (gng^{-1})(gmg^{-1})$, and that by normality the first term of this product is in N , and the second in M . \square

2. For a group G , let $S = \{x^{-1}y^{-1}xy : x, y \in G\}$ and let H be the subgroup of G generated by S . Prove that H is a normal subgroup of G and that G/H is Abelian.

Proof. To prove that H is normal in G , let us consider the element of S described by an arbitrary pair $x, y \in G$, and see what the result of conjugation by an arbitrary $g \in G$ is:

$$g^{-1}(x^{-1}y^{-1}xy)g = (g^{-1}x^{-1}g)(g^{-1}y^{-1}g)(g^{-1}xg)(g^{-1}yg) = (g^{-1}xg)^{-1}(g^{-1}yg)^{-1}(g^{-1}xg)(g^{-1}yg)$$

which is indeed itself an element of S , defined by the pair $g^{-1}xg, g^{-1}yg$. Now, since S is closed under conjugation, it is easy to see that $H = \langle S \rangle$ is also closed under conjugation, since any element h of H can be written as $s_1s_2s_3 \dots s_k$, from which $g^{-1}hg$ can be rewritten as

$$(g^{-1}s_1g)(g^{-1}s_2g) \cdots (g^{-1}s_kg)$$

which is a product of elements of S , and is thus in H .

To show that G/H is Abelian, we want to show that $abH = baH$ for any $a, b \in G$. This is pretty easy, since $b^{-1}a^{-1}ba \in H$, and so $ab(b^{-1}a^{-1}ba) \in abH$. However, this expression simplifies to ba , so $ba \in abH$. Clearly $ba \in baH$, and since overlapping cosets must be identical, we know that $abH = baH$. \square

3. Let $G = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$ with multiplication rules such that negation works as it normally does, and $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$, and $ij = k, ji = -k, jk = i, kj = -i, ki = j, ik = -j$ (This group is known as the quaternion group; it is something of a crossbreed of complex numbers and vector cross products). Show that the quaternion group can not be written as an internal direct product of any nontrivial subgroups.

This is actually extremely simple! If $G = G_1 \times G_2$, then $|G| = |G_1| \cdot |G_2|$. Here $|G| = 8$, so G_1 and G_2 must have orders which describe a binary factorization of 8; wlog we might assume $|G_1| \geq |G_2|$, in which case the only possibilities are $|G_1| = 8, |G_2| = 1$ and $|G_1| = 4, |G_2| = 2$. The former is trivial (since then $G_1 = G$ and G_2 is the identity-only group); the latter we shall show to be impossible with ease. Note that every group of order 2 or 4 is Abelian; thus, no choice of G_1 and G_2 yields a non-Abelian group $G_1 \times G_2$. Since G is actually non-Abelian, this proves the impossibility of such a decomposition.

4. Prove (with homomorphisms or directly) that $D_{2n}/\langle r^n \rangle \simeq D_n$.

Proof. Let φ be a homomorphism from D_{2n} to D_n given by the rather simplistic mapping of r to r and f to f . Note that this is a homomorphism solely because when $r^k = r^\ell$ in D_{2n} , it must be true that $k \equiv \ell \pmod{2n}$, and thus $k \equiv \ell \pmod{n}$ and $r^k = r^\ell$ in D_n as well. This homomorphism is surjective because any element in D_{2n} will be the image of the identically-named element of D_n .

Note that $\ker \varphi = \{e, r^n\} = \langle r^n \rangle$. Thus by the First Isomorphism Theorem, $D_{2n}/\langle r^n \rangle \simeq D_n$. \square

5. Let G be a group such that for certain primes p and q , there is a homomorphism from G onto (i.e. surjectively mapping to) Z_{pq} . Prove that G has normal subgroups of index p and q .

Let φ be the given surjective homomorphism from p onto Z_{pq} , and let π be the homomorphism from Z_{pq} to Z_p given by $\pi(1) = 1$ (so that $\pi(k)$ will be the remainder on dividing k by p). This homomorphism will obviously be surjective, since $Z_p = \{\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(p)\}$, and thus the composition $\pi \circ \varphi$ will be a surjective mapping from G to Z_p , so $G/\ker(\pi \circ \varphi) \cong Z_p$. Thus

$$[G : \ker(\pi \circ \varphi)] = |G/\ker(\pi \circ \varphi)| = p$$

so $\ker(\pi \circ \varphi)$ is a normal subgroup of G of index p . A similar construction yields a normal subgroup of G of order q .