

1. **(7 points)** Consider the true statement “For every real number x , if $x > 2$, then $x^2 > 4$.” Write its negation as a quantified statement in words or symbols and briefly explain why this new statement must be false.

The negation of a universal is an existential, so in this case: “There is a real number x such that $x > 2$ does not imply that $x^2 > 4$ ”, or, in symbols, $\exists x \in \mathbb{R} : \sim(x > 2 \rightarrow x^2 > 4)$. We could simplify this further by noting that the negation of an implication is actually a conjunction (i.e. $\sim(P \rightarrow Q) \equiv (P \wedge \sim Q)$), so the existential above could be further rewritten as “There is a real number x such that $x > 2$ but x^2 is not greater than 4”, or, in symbols, as $\exists x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 2 \wedge x^2 \leq 4$.

This is not a true statement since, for any $x > 2$, we know that $x^2 > 2 \cdot 2$; thus it would surely be impossible to find a number larger than 2 whose square is less than 4, so the existential statement is false.

2. **(18 points)** Find sets satisfying the conditions below, or briefly explain why it is impossible to find such sets:

- (a) Sets R , S , and T such that $R \in S$, $S \in T$, and $S \subsetneq T$.

We might conjecturally choose R to be $\{1\}$, as a nice simple example. Then, since $R \in S$, we would need S to be a set with $\{1\}$ as an element, and we might provisionally let $S = \{\{1\}\}$ (with the possibility that we would need to change it later. Finally, S needs to be both an element *and* a subset of T , which means T needs to have both S itself and S 's element as elements. So T needs at least two elements: S itself, which will be $\{\{1\}\}$, and the sole element of S , which is $\{1\}$. So $T = \{\{\{1\}\}, \{1\}\}$.

Other answers are also possible, of course. The simplest possibility, in the sense of making use of the smallest sets possible, is $R = \emptyset$, $S = \{\emptyset\}$, and $T = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$.

- (b) Sets A and B such that $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, and $A \in B$.

This is impossible. If B is a subset of \mathbb{N} , then all of B 's elements are natural numbers, but the requirement that $A \in B$ would necessitate that at least one element of B would be a set.

- (c) Finite sets X , Y , and Z such that $X \subseteq Z$, $Y \subseteq Z$, $|X| = |Y|$, and $|X \cap Y| = 2$.

The requirement here is to simply produce two sets X and Y , of the same finite size, and with an overlap of 2 elements, and then we can just choose any Z large enough to contain both of them. An easy way to do this is to let $X = Y = Z = \{1, 2\}$. If you want to be less absurd, something like $X = \{1, 2, 3, 5, 7\}$, $Y = \{1, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$, and $Z = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ would do.

3. **(19 points)** Prove that for any integer n , if $n^2 + 4n + 1$ is even, then n is odd.

Because this statement has a cumbersome premise and a simple conclusion, recasting it in terms of its contrapositive shows promise.

Proof. Let us consider instead the contrapositive statement that if n is even, then $n^2 + 4n + 1$ is odd. From our new premise that n is even, we may expand the definition of even to assert that $n = 2k$ for some integer k . Then

$$n^2 + 4n + 1 = (2k)^2 + 4(2k) + 1 = 4k^2 + 8k + 1 = 2(2k^2 + 4k) + 1$$

and since $2k^2 + 4k$ is an integer, the above expression is definitionally odd. \square

4. **(8 points)** The statement “for any natural number n , if n has an odd number of factors, then it is a perfect square” is true. State its converse. Is the converse true or not? Briefly explain your reasoning.

The converse of this statement is “for any natural number n , if n is a perfect square, then it has an odd number of factors.” This is a true statement. One easy way to see it to be true is that *most* of the factors of n^2 come in pairs: whenever $ab = n^2$, both a and b are factors. The one exception to this factorization contributing two factors to the complete list of factors is that $nn = n^2$, which contributes only a single factor to the list. So when we write out, for instance, all the ways to write 36 as a product of two numbers we have $1 \cdot 36$, $2 \cdot 18$, $3 \cdot 12$, $4 \cdot 9$, and $6 \cdot 6$. The first four products describe two factors each, while the last describes only one—and because of that last, idiosyncratic factorization, the total count of factors is odd.

5. **(15 points)** Prove that for integers a , b , and c , if a and c are both odd, then $ab + bc$ is even.

Since our premise is a conjunction of simple, useful statements, we shouldn't need to do anything too fancy here but can just write a direct proof.

Proof. From our premise that a and c are both odd, we may make use of the definition of odd to determine that $a = 2k + 1$ and $c = 2\ell + 1$ for some integers k and ℓ . Then

$$ab + bc = (2k + 1)b + b(2\ell + 1) = b(2k + 2\ell + 2) = 2(kb + \ell b + b)$$

and since $kb + \ell b + b$ is an integer, the above expression is even by definition. \square

6. **(15 points)** Fill in the truth table for each of the following statements, and identify the statement as a tautology, a contradiction, or neither.

- (a) $P \wedge (Q \rightarrow \sim P)$.

P	Q	$\sim P$	$Q \rightarrow \sim P$	$P \wedge (Q \rightarrow \sim P)$
F	F	T	T	F
F	T	T	T	F
T	F	F	T	T
T	T	F	F	F

This statement is neither a contradiction nor a tautology.

- (b) $(P \wedge Q) \leftrightarrow (P \rightarrow \sim Q)$.

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$	$\sim Q$	$P \rightarrow \sim Q$	$(P \wedge Q) \leftrightarrow (P \rightarrow \sim Q)$
F	F	F	T	T	F
F	T	F	F	T	F
T	F	F	T	T	F
T	T	T	F	F	F

Since this statement is always false, it is a contradiction.

- (c) $(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow P)$.

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	$Q \rightarrow P$	$(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow P)$
F	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	T
T	T	T	T	T

This statement is neither a contradiction nor a tautology.

7. **(18 points)** Prove that for any integer n , $3n^2 - 5n$ is even.

Since we have no premise provided, and since parity is clearly relevant to our conclusion, we shall divide up into cases based on the parity of n .

Proof. We shall consider two separate cases, depending on the parity of n .

Case I: n is even. Then by our case premise $n = 2k$ for some integer k . Then,

$$3n^2 - 5n = 3(2k)^2 - 5(2k) = 12k^2 - 10k = 2(6k^2 - 5k)$$

and since $6k^2 - 5k$ is an integer, the above expression is even.

Case I: n is odd. In this case, our case premise informs us that $n = 2k + 1$ for some integer k . Then,

$$3n^2 - 5n = 3(2k + 1)^2 - 5(2k + 1) = 12k^2 + 2k - 2 = 2(6k^2 + k - 1)$$

and since $6k^2 + k - 1$ is an integer, the above expression is once again even.

□